Statement II

Physical Performance under IRDP and JKY during 1990-91, 1991-92 and 1992-93 in Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka and Kerala.

Sl. No.	Programme	1990-91		1991-92		1992-93	
		Target	Achievement	Target	Achievement	Target	Achievement (Prov.)
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
I.	IRDP No. of families to be assisted/assisted						
(a)	Tamil Nadu	1,57,041	1,81,842	1.48.749	1,61,603	1,23,969	1,44.987
(b)	Andhra Pradesh	1,74,916	2.63,391	1,65.680	2.22.848	1,38,079	1,79.038
(c)	Karnataka	1,09,482	1,25,027	1,03.701	1,08,841	86,425	1,03.856
(d)	Kerala	59,476	60.877	56.335	57.562	46,950	50,517
II.	JRY Employment to be generated/generated (lakh mandays)						
(a)	Tamil Nadu	688.95	755.21	521.03	831.73	671.94	767.86
(b)	Andhra Pradesh	919.98	810.66	698.88	680.41	659.76	677.93
(c)	Karnataka	570.87	486.56	418.36	401.64	441.08	418.29
(d)	Kerala	244.83	180.96	138.98	177.05	138.63	134.54

Proposal for Restructuring the Planning Commission

2506. SHRI MENTAY PADMANABHAM : Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state,:

(a) whether there is any proposal under Government's consideration to restructure the Planning Commission; and

(b) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMANGO): (a) and (b) In an internal exercise carried out by the Planning Commission, a Task Force of Officers of the Planning Commission looked into the functioning of the Commission and in that context more professionalisation of the staff, their strength and deployment among different divisions. The Task Force endorsed a decision taken earlier to restrict the technical and administrative staff strength of the Planning Commission to 1580. Subject to approval of the full Planning Commission, there will also be a reorganisation of work among different divisions with consequential adjustment of staff.

Impact of Poor Plan Investment on Core Sector Expansion

2507. SHRI MENTAY PADMANABHAM: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that poor Plan Investment in '1992-93 will severely hit the core sector expansion, especially Power, Railways, Irrigation and Agriculture;

(b) if so, at what stage this shortfall was anticipated;

(c) whether the Planning Commission is embarking on any initiatives to retrieve the situation; and

(d) whether any discussions have been held with State Governments to ensure that the plan size is protected ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMANGO), (a) Higher outlays have been provided in the overall and for the Power, Railway, Irrigation & Agriculture sectors in the Annual Plan 1992-93 compared to the Annual Plan 1991-92. There is a further step up in the outlays for the overall plan including these sectors in Annual Plan 1993-94.

(b) to (d) Do not arise.

Revision of Targets for Eighth Five Year Plan

2508. SHRI MENTAY PADMANABHAM: Will the Minister of PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is a possibility of revising targets set for the Eighth Five Year Plan due to a shortfall in resource mobilisation by States;

(b) what is the status of resource mobilisation by States;

(c) what are the targets set for different States and achievements recorded thus far in resource mobilisation;

(d) whether Planning Commission will study ways and means to meet the final targets of Eighth Five Year Plan; and

(e) what are the details of alternatives being examined by the Planning Commission to meet targets in priority sectors?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PLANNING AND PROGRAMME IMPLEMENTATION (SHRI GIRIDHAR GOMANGO): (a) A mid-term review of the Five Year Plans includes progress in resources mobilisation and revision of targets. It is too early for a mid-term Review for the Eighth Five Year Plan.

(b) and (c) Shortfall in the resources has been reported by some States in 1992-93, as against the approved Annual Plan financing. A statement indicating the approved Plan outlay and the revised Plan outlay of the States for 1992-93 and the approved Plan outlays for 1993-94 Annual Plan, based on the resource estimates is annexed. *(See below)*

(d) and (e) The Planning Commission is in continuous dialogue with the States to find out ways and means to raise resources to meet Plan targets.

Statement

(Rs. Crores)

Sl. No.	States	1992-93		1993-94
		Approved Outlay	Revised Outlay	Annual Plan
1.	Andhra Pradesh	1675.00	1675.00	1851.00
2.	Arunachal Pradesh	247.60	235.35	290.00
3.	Assam	961.72	700.00	1027.00
4.	Bihar	2206.73	1100.00	2300.00
5.	Goa	152.50	153.42	170.00
6.	Gujarat	1875.00	1875.00	2137.00
7.	Haryana	830.00	804.57	920.00
8.	Himachal Pradesh	490.50	490.50	550.00
9.	Jammu & Kashmir	823.00	623.00	880.00
10.	Karnataka	1915.00	1915.00	3025.00
11.	Kerala	915.05	750.00	1003.00
12.	Madhya Pradesh	2409.27	1792.00	2400.00
13.	Maharashtra	3205.00	3233.00*	3804.00
14.	Manipur	210.00	171.30	230.16
15.	Meghalaya	241.00	241.00	281.00
16.	Mizoram	166.00	165.18	185.00